UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/554,081	10/21/2005	Wenhao Wang	KINW-01	4483	
	26875 7590 12/12/2008 WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP			EXAMINER	
2700 CAREW TOWER			PO, MING CHEUNG		
441 VINE STREET CINCINNATI, OH 45202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1797		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/12/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/554,081	WANG, WENHAO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MING CHEUNG PO	1797	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions after six or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron ute, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subject to by the Examination. The specification is objected to by the Examination. The drawing(s) filed on 21 October 2005 is/are	rawn from consideration.  /or election requirement. ner.	d to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ents have been received.  Ints have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/21/2005, 06/12/2006, 03/06/2008.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal 6)  Other:	oate	



Application No.

Application/Control Number: 10/554,081 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Office Summary

1. This is the initial office action based on application 10/554081 filed on 10/21/2005.

2. Claims 1-12 are pending and have been fully considered.

## Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: applicant cites China Patent ZL89213344 on page 1 and page 6 as disclosing a magnetized fuel saver. China Patent ZL89213344 discloses a tire. The PCT application cites CHINA Patent ZL89213334 as disclosing a magnetized fuel saver.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### **Drawings**

4. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because it is not possible to see where the circles, triangles and squares are from the range 0.05 to 0. 12nm<sup>-1</sup> in Figure 3. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. Claims 9-12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility.

Application/Control Number: 10/554,081 Page 3

Art Unit: 1797

Applicant teaches on pages 8 and 9 of the specification that an untreated fuel oil sample was measured with SANS technology. However, applicant states that the neutron scattering instrument could not provide specific information about the composition of the clusters. Applicant states that the curve shape of the results does not provide the size of the molecule clusters in paragraph 5 on page 8 and does not provide specific information about the composition of the clusters in paragraph 2 of page 9. It is unclear as to whether the fuel particles were clusters or if there were other impurities that caused the presence of D1 circles in Figure 3.

Claims 9-12 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WENHAO (CN ZL94113646.9) in view of DOLAN (U.S. 5,985,153).

The present application teaches that WENHAO teaches a dual cavity magnetized fuel saver with three cylindrical magnets. **Two magnets with N poles facing each other with a gap of 0.5** – 2.0. The magnets used may be made of NF30H material and its intrinsic coersivity is **18000-20000 Oersted** with the N pole face magnetic field intensity of 4,000-5,200 Gauss. One magnet is placed inside of the magnetic filter cavity.

WENHAO does not appear to explicitly teach a magnetic field gradient of at least 1.5 tesla/cm in a direction intersecting with the magnetic force lines of a magnetic field intensity of at least 8000 Gauss.

However, DOLAN teaches an apparatus for separating, immobilizing, and quantifying biological substances by employing a high internal gradient magnetic capture structure.

The two invention are analogous art because they are both concerned with the use of magnetic fields to separate particles in a liquid medium.

DOLAN teaches in lines 49 – 50 of column 1 that internal high gradient magnetic separators have been employed for 50 years and in lines 60 – 61 that gradients as high as **200 kGauss/cm** are easily achieved.

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the magnetic field gradient that DOLAN in the magnetic filter cavity that WENHAO teaches

Application/Control Number: 10/554,081 Page 5

Art Unit: 1797

The motivation to do so can be found in lines 50-51 of column 1 of DOLAN, which teaches that an internal magnetic field may remove weakly magnetic materials from slurries,

WENHAO still does not teach a magnetic field intensity of 8,000 Gauss.

However, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use at least a magnetic field intensity of at least 8,000 Gauss.

The motivation to do so is a reasonable expectation of success by increasing the magnitude of the magnetic field.

Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Regarding claims 1-8, WENHAO does not seem to explicitly state the size of the gasoline particles in the dual-cavity magnetized fuel saver.

However, the same process should yield the same product.

There is no reason to believe that the fuel saver that modified WENHAO teaches can not produce a fuel oil that contains substantially no granules greater than 3 nm or that the fuel oil is gasoline, diesel oil, kerosene, heavy oil, or bio-diesel.

Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

#### Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/554,081 Page 6

Art Unit: 1797

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to MING CHEUNG PO whose telephone number is

(571)270-5552. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571)272-1444. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ellen M McAvoy/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

Ming Cheung Po

Patent Examiner

Art Unit:1797

Application/Control Number: 10/554,081

Page 7

Art Unit: 1797